



## **Synthesis of Islamic Epistemology “Bayani, Burhani, and Irfani” as a Foundation for the Development of Contemporary Scientific Integration**

**Mohammad Syaifuddin\***

Universitas Islam Negeri  
K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid  
Pekalongan, INDONESIA

**Muhlisin**

Universitas Islam Negeri K.H.  
Abdurrahman Wahid  
Pekalongan, INDONESIA

**Slamet Untung**

Universitas Islam Negeri  
K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid  
Pekalongan, INDONESIA

**Amat Zuhri**

Universitas Islam Negeri  
K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid  
Pekalongan, INDONESIA

**Nur Khikmah**

Sekolah Menengah Pertama  
Negeri 1 Pekalongan,  
INDONESIA

**Thoyibah**

Kantor Kementerian Agama  
Brebes, INDONESIA

**Arditya Prayogi**

Universitas Islam Negeri K.H.  
Abdurrahman Wahid Pekalongan,  
INDONESIA

---

### **Article Info**

#### **Article history:**

Received: Feb 19, 2026  
Revised: April 21, 2026  
Accepted: May 04, 2026

#### **Keywords:**

Bayani; Burhani; Irfani;  
Islamic Epistemology;  
Knowledge Integration.

---

### **Abstract**

The crisis of modern science, characterized by the fragmentation of knowledge and the separation of scientific inquiry from ethical and spiritual values, has intensified the need to revisit Islamic epistemology as an integrative framework of knowledge. This study aims to examine the characteristics and dialectical relationships of the three major paradigms of Islamic epistemology, namely bayani, burhani, and irfani, as the foundation for developing a holistic scientific worldview grounded in tawhīd al-‘ilm. This research employed a qualitative philosophical approach using conceptual, historical, and comparative analyses of classical and contemporary Islamic intellectual works, particularly those of al-Jabiri, al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, al-Ghazali, Suhrawardi, al-Attas, and Seyyed Hossein Nasr. The findings indicate that bayani represents revelation-based textual rationality, burhani emphasizes logical and empirical reasoning, while irfani highlights spiritual intuition and inner illumination as pathways to attaining truth. The study further reveals that these three epistemological paradigms are not contradictory but complementary and mutually reinforcing within the Islamic intellectual tradition. Their synthesis forms a comprehensive epistemological framework capable of integrating revelation, rationality, and spirituality into a unified system of knowledge. The study concludes that the integration of bayani, burhani, and irfani offers an alternative paradigm for reconstructing contemporary science that is intellectually rigorous, ethically grounded, and spiritually oriented, thereby contributing to the development of a more humane and value-based scientific civilization.

---

**To cite this article:** Syaifuddin, M., Muhlisin, Untung, S., Zuhri, A., Khikmah, N., Thoyibah, & Prayogi, A. (2026). Synthesis of Islamic epistemology “bayani, burhani, and irfani” as a foundation for the development of contemporary scientific integration. *Al Qodiri: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial dan Keagamaan*, 24(2), 211–225.

---

## **INTRODUCTION**

The development of modern science has generated significant advances in technology, economics, and human civilization. Nevertheless, contemporary scientific discourse is increasingly confronted with epistemological problems related to fragmentation, secularization, and the separation of science from ethical and spiritual values. Modern scientific paradigms, which are predominantly rooted in positivism, empiricism, and rationalism, tend to position reason and sensory experience as the sole authorities of truth while marginalizing transcendental dimensions

---

\* **Corresponding author:**

Mohammad Syaifuddin, Universitas Islam Negeri K.H. Abdurrahman Wahid Pekalongan, INDONESIA  
[mohammad.syaifuddin@uingusdur.ac.id](mailto:mohammad.syaifuddin@uingusdur.ac.id)

(Almusaed et al., 2025; Holtz, 2020; Maulana & Huda, 2025; Solberg Söilen, 2025). This condition has encouraged the emergence of criticism from various Muslim scholars who argue that science without moral and spiritual foundations may lead to dehumanization, ecological crises, and the erosion of ethical consciousness (Mirza, 2024; Paralihan, 2024; Safdar & Shams-Ur-Rehman, 2021). Within the Islamic intellectual tradition, knowledge is not merely perceived as an intellectual product but also as a manifestation of humanity's relationship with God. Consequently, Islamic epistemology offers an alternative perspective that integrates revelation, rationality, and spirituality into a unified framework of knowledge. This integrative orientation positions Islamic epistemology as an important discourse in reconstructing contemporary scientific paradigms that are more holistic and value-oriented.

In the context of Islamic scholarship, epistemology is fundamentally rooted in *tawhīd*, which views all branches of knowledge as interconnected under divine unity. Islamic intellectual history demonstrates that Muslim scholars developed multiple epistemological traditions to understand reality and truth comprehensively. Among the most influential paradigms are *bayani*, *burhani*, and *irfani*, each of which represents distinct approaches to acquiring knowledge (Azmi & Syam, 2021; Iftikhar et al., 2024; Irfani et al., 2025). *Bayani* emphasizes the authority of revelation and textual interpretation through disciplines such as *tafsir*, *fiqh*, and *kalam*, while *burhani* prioritizes logical reasoning and empirical demonstration as developed in Islamic philosophy and science (Istiqomah, 2026; Ramadhan, 2025; Ulfa, 2025). In contrast, *irfani* focuses on spiritual intuition, inner experience, and mystical illumination as pathways to attaining *ma'rifah* or direct recognition of divine truth (Baried & Hannase, 2021; Ihsan et al., 2024; Lubis & Pratami, 2026). These three paradigms collectively shaped the intellectual foundation of classical Islamic civilization and contributed to the development of various scientific disciplines. Therefore, Islamic epistemology historically reflects a multidimensional understanding of knowledge that transcends the dichotomy between rationality and spirituality.

Despite this rich intellectual heritage, contemporary Islamic thought has experienced challenges in maintaining the balance among *bayani*, *burhani*, and *irfani* epistemologies. The modernization of science and the global dominance of Western scientific paradigms have encouraged the predominance of rational-empirical approaches while reducing the significance of revelation-based and spiritual dimensions (Obiedat, 2022a, 2022b; Rogińska, 2016). In many educational and scientific institutions, knowledge is often constructed within a secular framework that separates scientific inquiry from ethical and metaphysical considerations. This tendency contributes to the fragmentation of knowledge, where science functions primarily as a technical instrument rather than a medium for moral and spiritual development. Several scholars have argued that such fragmentation weakens the holistic worldview that once characterized Islamic civilization (Habibi, 2024; Magsi, 2026; Maulud & Syaifuddin, 2025; Mohiuddin & Wright, 2024; Mukhlis, 2026). Furthermore, the absence of integrative epistemological frameworks may limit the ability of Muslim societies to respond to contemporary crises involving morality, environmental degradation, and social injustice. Consequently, the reconstruction of Islamic epistemology has become increasingly urgent in order to reconnect scientific development with ethical and transcendental values.

The urgency of revisiting Islamic epistemology is also closely related to the growing discourse on the integration of religion and science in Muslim educational institutions. Various Islamic universities and intellectual movements have attempted to develop integrative scientific paradigms that combine religious values with modern scientific approaches (Adiyono et al., 2024; Awaliyah Fitri et al., 2022; Khozin & Umiarso, 2019; Muslih & Taqiyuddin, 2025). However, many of these initiatives remain conceptual and have not yet fully articulated the epistemological relationship between revelation, rationality, and intuition. In practice, scientific integration is often interpreted merely as the inclusion of religious perspectives within secular disciplines without reconstructing the underlying epistemological foundations. This condition indicates the need for a deeper philosophical exploration of the epistemological structure that underlies Islamic scientific traditions. The synthesis of *bayani*, *burhani*, and *irfani* can provide a comprehensive framework for understanding knowledge as simultaneously rational, ethical, and spiritual. Therefore, examining the dialectical relationship among these paradigms is essential for formulating an integrative scientific worldview that is relevant to contemporary intellectual and educational challenges.

Previous studies have extensively discussed Islamic epistemology from various perspectives, including the classification of bayani, burhani, and irfani proposed by al-Jabiri and its implications for Islamic thought and education (Afwadzi et al., 2023; Asnah, 2026; Ma'rufi et al., 2024; Rizki & Rijal, 2026; Yusrin, 2023; Zohdi, 2017). Research by Hariyanto, 2025 and Uyuni and Adnan (2024) emphasized the educational relevance of these epistemological traditions in strengthening critical thinking, spirituality, and religious understanding. Other studies explored the philosophical dimensions of Islamic epistemology through the perspectives of al-Ghazali, Ibn Sina, Ibn Rushd, and Suhrawardi, particularly regarding the relationship between revelation, rationality, and intuition (Basri et al., 2025; Kadir, 2024; Putra et al., 2025; Vadly, 2025). In addition, scholars such as Fahmi et al. (2024) and Mughal and Ali (2022) examined the integration of Islam and science through the paradigms of al-Attas and Seyyed Hossein Nasr. Several recent studies also highlighted the importance of epistemological integration in the context of Islamic higher education and curriculum development (Azizah et al., 2025; Ciptadi & Tobroni, 2024; Mo'tasim et al., 2025; Rifai et al., 2025). Collectively, these studies demonstrate a growing scholarly interest in reconstructing Islamic scientific paradigms capable of responding to contemporary intellectual challenges. Nevertheless, the majority of previous research tends to discuss bayani, burhani, and irfani separately or focuses primarily on their historical and conceptual dimensions.

Although prior studies have contributed significantly to understanding Islamic epistemology, several important limitations remain. First, many studies emphasize descriptive explanations of each epistemological paradigm without critically examining the dialectical relationship among them within a unified epistemological framework. Second, previous research often focuses on educational implementation or philosophical interpretation while paying limited attention to the reconstruction of integrative epistemology in response to the crisis of modern science. Third, discussions concerning the synthesis of bayani, burhani, and irfani frequently remain normative and lack a systematic conceptual formulation capable of bridging revelation, rationality, and spirituality simultaneously. Furthermore, relatively few studies have explored how these three epistemologies can function collectively as the foundation for the development of contemporary scientific integration rooted in *tawhīd*. As a result, the discourse on Islamic epistemology still tends to be fragmented and has not fully addressed the need for a holistic scientific paradigm that integrates ethical, rational, and transcendental dimensions. This gap demonstrates the necessity of a more comprehensive study that positions bayani, burhani, and irfani not as competing systems but as complementary epistemological structures within Islamic intellectual tradition.

Based on these considerations, this study aims to examine the nature and characteristics of bayani, burhani, and irfani epistemologies, analyze their dialectical relationship within the structure of Islamic epistemology, and explore their relevance to the development of contemporary scientific integration. This study also seeks to formulate a conceptual synthesis that positions revelation, reason, and spiritual intuition as interconnected dimensions within a unified epistemological framework based on *tawhīd al-ilm*. Theoretically, this research contributes to enriching the discourse on Islamic epistemology by offering a more integrative perspective on the relationship between textual, rational, and spiritual approaches to knowledge. Practically, the study provides a philosophical foundation for developing integrative educational and scientific paradigms in Islamic institutions, particularly in responding to contemporary challenges related to secularization, fragmentation of knowledge, and the moral crisis of modern science. Through a philosophical and historical analysis of classical and contemporary Muslim thinkers, this research is expected to strengthen the development of a holistic scientific worldview that harmonizes science, ethics, and spirituality within the framework of Islamic civilization.

## METHOD

This study employed a qualitative philosophical approach (Fuadi et al., 2025) using a historical-literature research design combined with conceptual analytical methods (Nartin et al., 2024; Gusli, 2025). The qualitative philosophical approach was selected because the study aimed to critically examine the epistemological structure of Islamic thought rather than to measure empirical variables quantitatively. This approach enabled an in-depth exploration of the concepts, sources, methods, and validity of knowledge within the paradigms of bayani, burhani, and irfani. The

historical-literature design was applied to trace the intellectual development and interaction of these epistemological traditions across different periods of Islamic civilization. Through this design, the study sought to understand how Muslim thinkers formulated integrative relationships between revelation, rationality, and spirituality within the broader framework of Islamic epistemology. The research was conducted from January to April 2026 through systematic collection, classification, and interpretation of classical and contemporary scholarly literature related to Islamic epistemology and the integration of knowledge.

The units of analysis in this study consisted of major classical and contemporary intellectual works representing the traditions of bayani, burhani, and irfani epistemology. The selection of sources was conducted purposively based on their relevance, scholarly authority, conceptual depth, and contribution to Islamic epistemological discourse. Primary data were derived from classical and contemporary works (Syafei, 2025), including *Bunyah al-'Aql al-'Arabi* by al-Jabiri, *Iḥyā 'Ulūm al-Dīn* by al-Ghazali, *Hikmat al-Isyrāq* by Suhrawardi, *Tahāfut al-Tahāfut* by Ibn Rushd, *Prolegomena to the Metaphysics of Islam* by al-Attas, and *Knowledge and the Sacred* by Seyyed Hossein Nasr (Salahudin, 2020). These works were selected because they explicitly discuss the foundations of Islamic epistemology and the relationship between revelation, rationality, and spiritual intuition. Secondary data consisted of peer-reviewed journal articles, books, conference proceedings, and academic studies discussing Islamic philosophy, philosophy of science, integration of knowledge, and Islamization of science. Sources that did not directly address epistemological issues or lacked academic credibility were excluded from the analytical process.

The primary instrument of this research was a structured documentation and conceptual analysis framework developed to classify and interpret epistemological concepts systematically. The framework focused on several analytical indicators, including sources of knowledge, methods of reasoning, concepts of truth, validity criteria, ontological orientation, and implications for scientific development. Documentation techniques were employed to collect relevant textual data from books, journal articles, and scholarly publications related to Islamic epistemology. To strengthen the credibility of the analysis, the study implemented theoretical and source triangulation by comparing perspectives from different Muslim thinkers and intellectual traditions. The validity of the data was maintained through continuous cross-referencing among classical and contemporary sources, while analytical consistency was ensured through repeated reading and interpretive evaluation of the selected literature. Since the study was philosophical and qualitative in nature, reliability was emphasized through conceptual coherence, transparency of interpretation, and consistency between textual evidence and analytical arguments.

The data collection process was carried out through several systematic stages. The first stage involved identifying major themes related to Islamic epistemology, integration of knowledge, and the relationship between revelation, reason, and spirituality within contemporary scientific discourse. The second stage consisted of collecting and categorizing relevant primary and secondary literature from academic databases, scholarly books, and classical Islamic texts. After the literature had been collected, the researchers conducted intensive reading and documentation of important concepts, arguments, and epistemological formulations associated with bayani, burhani, and irfani traditions. The extracted data were subsequently organized into thematic categories to facilitate comparative and integrative analysis. Throughout this process, detailed documentation of references and conceptual findings was maintained to ensure transparency and systematic interpretation of the data.

Data analysis was conducted using a qualitative philosophical analysis model consisting of description, comparison, interpretation, and synthesis (Wahyuni et al., 2025). The descriptive stage was used to explain the conceptual characteristics of each epistemological paradigm based on the selected literature. Comparative analysis was then applied to identify similarities, differences, and intersections among bayani, burhani, and irfani in terms of epistemological foundations, reasoning methods, and truth criteria. Furthermore, interpretive analysis was employed to examine the relevance of these epistemologies to contemporary scientific development and the reconstruction of integrative knowledge. The final stage involved synthesizing the findings into a unified epistemological framework grounded in *tawḥīd al-'ilm*, which integrates revelation, rationality, and spiritual intuition as interconnected dimensions of knowledge. This study also adhered to academic

ethical principles by ensuring proper citation, maintaining objectivity in textual interpretation, and respecting intellectual integrity throughout the research process.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Results

This section presents the main findings derived from the philosophical and historical analysis of Islamic epistemology, particularly concerning the paradigms of bayani, burhani, and irfani as the foundations for the integration of contemporary scientific knowledge. The discussion in this section is systematically organized to explain the conceptual structure, characteristics, and interrelationships of the three epistemological traditions within the broader framework of Islamic intellectual history. Furthermore, the findings elaborate on the distinctive orientations of textual rationality, demonstrative rationality, and spiritual intuition, as well as their respective contributions to the development of Islamic civilization and scientific thought. This section also examines the epistemological tensions and imbalances that emerged throughout the modernization of science, which contributed to the fragmentation between revelation, rationality, and spirituality in contemporary knowledge systems. In addition, the findings highlight the necessity of reconstructing an integrative Islamic epistemology capable of harmonizing ethical, rational, and transcendental dimensions within scientific development. Collectively, these results demonstrate that the synthesis of bayani, burhani, and irfani provides a holistic epistemological framework that can serve as the basis for developing a more balanced, ethical, and spiritually grounded paradigm of contemporary science.

### *Islamic Epistemology and Scientific Paradigms*

The findings of this study indicate that epistemology occupies a central position in the construction of Islamic scientific thought because it determines the sources, methods, and validity of knowledge. In philosophical discourse, epistemology is not merely concerned with how knowledge is obtained, but also with how truth is verified and interpreted within human intellectual activity. The analysis reveals that classical philosophical traditions developed different orientations toward knowledge through rationalism, empiricism, and critical philosophy. Rationalist traditions emphasized reason as the primary source of truth, while empiricist traditions positioned sensory experience as the basis of human understanding. These differing orientations eventually shaped broader scientific paradigms in both Western and Islamic intellectual traditions. As a result, epistemology evolved into a comprehensive framework that influences the development of civilization, scientific reasoning, and human perspectives toward reality.

The findings further demonstrate that Islamic epistemology developed through the interaction of revelation, rationality, and spirituality within a tawhīdic worldview. Islamic intellectual tradition historically integrated these dimensions into a unified system of knowledge that differed fundamentally from secular scientific paradigms. During the classical period of Islamic civilization, the traditions of bayani, burhani, and irfani emerged simultaneously and contributed to the advancement of theology, jurisprudence, philosophy, science, and spirituality. Bayani emphasized textual interpretation and normative reasoning rooted in revelation, burhani prioritized rational inquiry and logical demonstration, while irfani focused on intuition, spiritual experience, and mystical awareness. These three paradigms collectively formed the epistemological foundation of Islamic scholarship and shaped the intellectual dynamics of Muslim civilization. The findings also reveal that the balance among these paradigms gradually shifted as rational-empirical approaches increasingly dominated scientific discourse.

The analysis shows that the development of modern scientific paradigms contributed to the fragmentation of knowledge within contemporary intellectual life. Scientific inquiry increasingly emphasized empirical verification and technical efficiency while reducing the role of spirituality and ethical reflection. Within this context, Islamic epistemology emerged as an alternative paradigm capable of reconnecting revelation, rationality, and spirituality into a unified scientific framework. The findings indicate that Islamic epistemology views knowledge not only as an intellectual product but also as a medium for cultivating moral responsibility and spiritual awareness. Consequently, the reconstruction of Islamic epistemology becomes important for addressing the separation between

science, ethics, and transcendental values in modern civilization. This reconstruction is closely related to efforts to restore the holistic worldview that historically characterized Islamic intellectual traditions.

### ***Bayani Epistemology: Textual Rationality and the Authority of Revelation***

The findings reveal that bayani epistemology represents a textual and normative approach to knowledge grounded in revelation and religious authority. Within this paradigm, the Qur'an and Hadith function as the primary sources of truth, while reason serves as an interpretive instrument for understanding textual meanings. Bayani developed strongly within disciplines such as fiqh, tafsir, kalam, and Arabic linguistics, all of which relied heavily on textual analysis and juridical deduction. The epistemological structure of bayani emphasizes conformity with revelation and adherence to accepted interpretive principles. Consequently, valid knowledge within this framework must possess textual legitimacy derived either directly from revelation or indirectly through analogical reasoning. This structure contributed significantly to the preservation of religious authority and continuity of Islamic intellectual traditions.

The analysis further indicates that bayani epistemology possesses several distinctive characteristics. First, it is deductive and normative because knowledge is derived from sacred texts through established interpretive methodologies. Second, it prioritizes linguistic precision and contextual understanding in uncovering religious meanings. Third, it positions revelation as the ultimate authority while limiting the autonomy of human reasoning. These characteristics enabled bayani to maintain doctrinal stability and provide ethical guidance within Islamic civilization. At the same time, the findings reveal that excessive reliance on textual authority sometimes reduced openness toward empirical and contextual developments. This limitation contributed to criticisms that bayani may become rigid when detached from broader rational and experiential dimensions of knowledge.

The findings also show that bayani remains highly relevant within contemporary Islamic discourse when understood as a revelation-based epistemology rather than as rigid textualism. Through contextual and hermeneutical interpretation, sacred texts continue to provide ethical direction for scientific and social development. Bayani therefore functions not only as a mechanism for preserving religious tradition but also as a normative framework capable of guiding modern intellectual life. Within the broader structure of Islamic epistemology, bayani contributes moral orientation and ensures that scientific activity remains connected to divine values. This role becomes particularly important in contemporary contexts where scientific advancement frequently operates without sufficient ethical considerations.

### ***Burhani Epistemology: Rationality and Demonstrative Knowledge***

The findings demonstrate that burhani epistemology represents the rational and demonstrative dimension of Islamic intellectual tradition. This epistemology emphasizes the use of logic, systematic reasoning, and empirical observation as instruments for verifying truth. Burhani developed extensively through the integration of Aristotelian logic into Islamic scholarship and became highly influential within philosophy, theology, logic, and the natural sciences. Muslim philosophers such as al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, and Ibn Rushd played important roles in developing demonstrative methods based on rational coherence and analytical inquiry. Within this framework, knowledge is considered valid when supported by logical consistency and empirical evidence. Consequently, burhani contributed significantly to the advancement of scientific reasoning within Islamic civilization.

The findings further indicate that burhani epistemology encouraged the development of analytical and systematic scientific methods. Rational inquiry was understood as a legitimate means for understanding natural laws and uncovering the order of creation. Human reason was viewed as a divine gift that enables humanity to comprehend the signs of God manifested in the universe. This perspective allowed burhani thinkers to harmonize revelation and rationality rather than positioning them as contradictory domains. Scientific inquiry therefore became both an intellectual and spiritual endeavor aimed at understanding divine wisdom through the study of reality. The findings also reveal that burhani contributed substantially to the development of philosophy, astronomy, medicine, mathematics, and other scientific disciplines within Islamic civilization.

The analysis identifies several major contributions of key Muslim philosophers to burhani epistemology. Al-Farabi developed a hierarchical theory of intellect that positioned reason as an instrument for attaining universal knowledge. Ibn Sina expanded this framework by integrating logical deduction with metaphysical reflection and intuitive understanding. Ibn Rushd further emphasized the compatibility between philosophy and revelation by arguing that both originate from the same source of truth. Through these contributions, burhani established a rational-scientific tradition deeply rooted in Islamic intellectual life. Nevertheless, the findings also indicate that excessive emphasis on rationality may risk reducing the spiritual and ethical dimensions of knowledge. This limitation later encouraged Muslim thinkers to seek a more integrative epistemological framework capable of balancing rational inquiry with revelation and spirituality.

### ***Irfani Epistemology: Intuition and Spiritual Consciousness***

The findings reveal that irfani epistemology represents the spiritual and intuitive dimension of Islamic knowledge. Unlike bayani and burhani, which rely primarily on textual interpretation and rational demonstration, irfani emphasizes inner experience, intuition, and direct awareness of divine reality. Knowledge within this framework is attained through spiritual purification, contemplation, remembrance of God, and mystical experience. Consequently, irfani developed extensively within Sufism and mystical philosophy, where the pursuit of truth involved both intellectual understanding and existential transformation. The findings show that this epistemological tradition viewed the heart as an important instrument of knowledge alongside reason and revelation. Through spiritual discipline, humans become capable of receiving intuitive insights that transcend ordinary intellectual comprehension.

The analysis identifies several major figures associated with irfani epistemology, including al-Ghazali, Suhrawardi, Ibn 'Arabi, and Mulla Sadra. These thinkers argued that ultimate truth cannot be attained solely through logic or textual interpretation because human reason possesses inherent limitations in understanding metaphysical realities. Al-Ghazali emphasized the importance of spiritual certainty and inner purification in achieving authentic knowledge. Suhrawardi developed the philosophy of illumination, which conceptualized reality as hierarchical manifestations of divine light. Ibn 'Arabi further expanded irfani through the concept of wahdat al-wujud, emphasizing the unity between creation and divine reality. Collectively, these perspectives demonstrate that irfani epistemology seeks to transform knowledge from mere intellectual information into direct spiritual realization.

The findings also indicate that irfani contributes significantly to the ethical and transcendental dimensions of Islamic epistemology. By emphasizing spiritual awareness and self-purification, irfani prevents scientific inquiry from becoming detached from existential meaning and moral responsibility. At the same time, this epistemology faces criticism because of its subjective nature and limited empirical verifiability. Mystical experiences are difficult to measure objectively and may vary between individuals. Nevertheless, the findings confirm that irfani remains an essential component of Islamic epistemology because it preserves the spiritual depth of knowledge and reinforces humanity's connection to divine truth. In contemporary contexts, irfani provides an important balance to the dominance of materialistic and purely rational paradigms.

### ***Synthesis of Bayani, Burhani, and Irfani Epistemologies***

The findings indicate that bayani, burhani, and irfani should not be understood as isolated or competing epistemological systems. Instead, these paradigms function as complementary dimensions within a comprehensive Islamic framework of knowledge. Bayani contributes normative and ethical guidance through revelation, burhani strengthens scientific inquiry through rational and analytical methods, while irfani provides spiritual depth and transcendental awareness. Each paradigm possesses distinct methodological characteristics and intellectual orientations, yet all three are interconnected in constructing a holistic worldview grounded in tawhīd. The findings therefore reveal that the integration of these paradigms is necessary to overcome the fragmentation of knowledge within contemporary scientific development.

To clarify the differences and relationships among the three epistemological traditions, the comparative findings are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Comparative Characteristics of Bayani, Burhani, and Irfani Epistemologies

No	Dimension	Bayani	Burhani	Irfani
1	Source of Knowledge	Revelation, sacred texts, religious authority	Reason, logic, empirical experience	Intuition, spiritual unveiling, inner experience
2	Reasoning Method	Deductive and normative interpretation	Demonstrative and logical analysis	Intuitive and contemplative experience
3	Main Orientation	Textual and linguistic interpretation	Rational and scientific inquiry	Spiritual and transcendental awareness
4	Central Framework	Qiyas, textual deduction, jurisprudential reasoning	Logic, syllogism, causal reasoning	Mystical symbolism and spiritual interpretation
5	Truth Validation	Conformity with revelation	Logical and empirical consistency	Inner certainty and spiritual witnessing
6	Main Supporters	Jurists, theologians, linguists	Philosophers and scientists	Sufis and mystical philosophers
7	Representative Figures	Imam al-Shafi'i, al-Juwayni	Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, Ibn Rushd	Al-Ghazali, Suhrawardi, Ibn 'Arabi
8	Main Characteristics	Normative, textual, legalistic	Rational, systematic, empirical	Intuitive, transcendental, mystical
9	Scientific Contribution	Preservation of religious authority	Development of science and logic	Development of spirituality and ethics
10	Main Limitation	Limited openness to empirical change	Potential rationalism and secularism	Subjectivity and limited verification

The comparative analysis demonstrates that each epistemology contributes unique strengths to the Islamic intellectual tradition. Bayani safeguards the ethical and revelational foundations of knowledge, burhani develops scientific and analytical rigor, and irfani enriches the spiritual and existential dimensions of understanding. At the same time, each paradigm also possesses limitations when operating independently. Bayani may become overly textual, burhani may become excessively rationalistic, and irfani may become highly subjective. The findings therefore show that an integrative synthesis among these paradigms is necessary to establish a balanced epistemological framework capable of addressing intellectual, ethical, and spiritual dimensions simultaneously.

The findings further reveal that the synthesis of bayani, burhani, and irfani forms the conceptual foundation for integrative Islamic knowledge. Within this framework, revelation functions as the normative basis of truth, reason serves as the analytical instrument, and intuition acts as the spiritual refinement of knowledge. This synthesis rejects the dichotomy between religion and science, between rationality and spirituality, and between empirical inquiry and metaphysical awareness. Instead, it proposes a holistic paradigm in which all dimensions of knowledge are interconnected under the principle of *tawhīd al-'ilm*. Consequently, the integration of these epistemologies contributes to the reconstruction of a scientific worldview that is intellectually rigorous, ethically grounded, and spiritually meaningful.

### ***The Relevance of Islamic Epistemology to Contemporary Scientific Development***

The findings indicate that Islamic epistemology possesses significant relevance in responding to the crisis of contemporary scientific paradigms. Modern science, largely influenced by positivism and secular rationality, frequently separates empirical inquiry from ethical and spiritual dimensions. This separation contributes to reductionistic perspectives that prioritize technical efficiency and material progress while neglecting moral responsibility and transcendental awareness. Within this context, Islamic epistemology emerges as an alternative paradigm capable of integrating science, ethics, and spirituality into a unified framework. The findings reveal that Islamic epistemology does

not reject scientific progress but instead seeks to orient scientific development toward human welfare and divine values.

The integration of bayani, burhani, and irfani provides a comprehensive epistemological foundation for contemporary scientific advancement. Burhani encourages scientific innovation through logical reasoning and empirical investigation, bayani ensures that scientific activity remains grounded in ethical and revelational principles, while irfani introduces spiritual awareness and existential meaning into the pursuit of knowledge. These dimensions collectively contribute to the formation of a scientific worldview that balances rational inquiry with moral and spiritual responsibility. Consequently, scientific development is understood not merely as technological achievement but also as part of humanity's broader responsibility toward God, society, and nature. This integrative structure allows Islamic epistemology to address both intellectual and ethical challenges within modern civilization.

The findings further demonstrate that the synthesis of Islamic epistemology has practical implications for educational development, particularly within Islamic higher education. Integrative curricula based on bayani, burhani, and irfani can balance cognitive, ethical, and spiritual dimensions of learning. Educational processes are therefore directed not only toward producing technically competent individuals but also toward forming morally responsible and spiritually conscious scholars. Through this approach, Islamic epistemology contributes to the development of holistic education capable of responding to contemporary social and scientific challenges. In the long term, the integration of revelation, rationality, and spirituality has the potential to foster a scientific civilization that is technologically advanced while remaining ethically grounded and spiritually oriented.

## Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate that Islamic epistemology possesses a fundamentally integrative character that differs significantly from the dominant paradigm of modern Western science. The analysis reveals that the traditions of bayani, burhani, and irfani were historically developed not as isolated systems of knowledge, but as interconnected epistemological structures operating within the framework of *tawhīd*. This finding supports the argument that Islamic scholarship historically rejected the dichotomy between rationality, spirituality, and revelation because all dimensions of knowledge were perceived as originating from the same divine source. Such an orientation reinforces the view that Islamic epistemology is inherently holistic and value-oriented, unlike secular scientific paradigms that tend to separate empirical inquiry from ethical and metaphysical considerations (Almusaed et al., 2025; Sassi, 2020). The findings are also consistent with previous studies emphasizing that Islamic intellectual traditions integrated textual reasoning, rational inquiry, and spiritual experience into a unified scientific worldview (Azmi & Syam, 2021; Iftikhar et al., 2024; Irfani et al., 2025). However, this study extends prior discussions by demonstrating that the relationship among these epistemological paradigms is not merely complementary in theory, but structurally interdependent in sustaining the coherence of Islamic civilization. Consequently, the study contributes conceptually by positioning Islamic epistemology as a multidimensional framework capable of reconstructing contemporary scientific paradigms beyond the limitations of secular rationalism.

Another important finding concerns the role of bayani epistemology as the normative and ethical foundation of Islamic scientific thought. The results indicate that bayani functions not only as a textual interpretive system but also as a mechanism for preserving moral orientation within scientific activity. This finding supports previous studies arguing that revelation-based epistemology remains central in maintaining the ethical dimensions of Islamic scholarship (Ramadhan, 2025; Ulfa, 2025). Nevertheless, the analysis also reveals that excessive dependence on textual authority may potentially reduce openness toward empirical developments and contextual transformation. This condition partly explains why certain Islamic intellectual traditions experienced difficulties in adapting to rapidly changing scientific and social realities. Such findings are consistent with critiques suggesting that rigid textualism may contribute to intellectual stagnation when detached from broader rational and contextual approaches (Afwadzi et al., 2023; Rizki & Rijal, 2026). At the same time, this study challenges simplistic assumptions that bayani necessarily opposes scientific progress. Instead, the findings demonstrate that bayani can remain dynamically relevant when

interpreted through contextual and hermeneutical approaches that preserve ethical values while accommodating contemporary realities. This interpretation broadens existing theoretical perspectives by positioning bayani as a flexible ethical framework rather than merely a conservative textual paradigm.

The findings further reveal that burhani epistemology played a decisive role in the development of rational inquiry and scientific advancement within Islamic civilization. The emphasis on logic, empirical verification, and demonstrative reasoning enabled Muslim scholars to develop sophisticated contributions in philosophy, medicine, astronomy, and mathematics. This result aligns with studies highlighting the importance of burhani in cultivating critical thinking and scientific rationality within Islamic intellectual traditions (Mulasi & Rizal, 2024; Mukhlis, 2024). The present study, however, demonstrates that burhani was never intended to function independently from revelation and spirituality. Rationality within Islamic epistemology operated within a theocentric worldview in which scientific inquiry was understood as a means of recognizing divine wisdom manifested in creation. This finding contrasts with modern secular rationalism, which often treats reason as an autonomous authority detached from transcendental values (Holtz, 2020; Solberg Söilen, 2025). The study therefore suggests that the historical tension between religion and science in modern Western discourse cannot be directly projected onto Islamic intellectual traditions. Instead, Islamic epistemology historically positioned rational inquiry and revelation as mutually reinforcing dimensions of truth. This perspective contributes to contemporary debates regarding the possibility of developing a scientific paradigm that remains rational while preserving ethical and spiritual orientation.

The analysis also highlights the significant role of irfani epistemology in preserving the spiritual and existential dimensions of knowledge. The findings indicate that irfani functions as a corrective mechanism against purely materialistic and reductionistic understandings of science by emphasizing inner awareness, moral purification, and transcendental consciousness. This result supports previous studies arguing that intuitive and spiritual knowledge remains an essential component of Islamic intellectual traditions (Baried & Hannase, 2021; Rijal & Muhammad, 2021). Nevertheless, the findings also reveal that irfani epistemology faces methodological challenges because spiritual experience is inherently subjective and difficult to verify empirically. This explains why some modern scholars tend to marginalize mystical approaches within contemporary scientific discourse. Despite these limitations, the study demonstrates that irfani contributes a dimension of ethical depth that cannot be replaced solely by rational or empirical reasoning. In this regard, the findings expand earlier discussions by showing that spiritual intuition should not be interpreted as anti-rational, but rather as an existential complement to analytical knowledge. Consequently, irfani becomes particularly relevant in responding to contemporary crises characterized by moral alienation, ecological destruction, and the erosion of spiritual consciousness in modern civilization (Mirza, 2024; Paralihan, 2024).

A central finding of this study is that the synthesis of bayani, burhani, and irfani constitutes the most significant foundation for reconstructing contemporary Islamic epistemology. Previous studies generally discussed these paradigms separately or focused primarily on their historical dimensions without examining their dialectical integration within a unified epistemological framework (Ma'rufi et al., 2024; Yusrin, 2023). In contrast, this study demonstrates that the integration of revelation, rationality, and spirituality forms a hierarchical yet interconnected structure of knowledge grounded in *tawhīd al-'ilm*. Bayani provides normative direction, burhani strengthens analytical and methodological rigor, while irfani contributes spiritual refinement and ethical awareness. The absence of one dimension potentially produces an imbalanced scientific paradigm, either excessively textual, excessively rationalistic, or excessively subjective. This finding therefore addresses an important gap in previous literature concerning the lack of systematic conceptual formulations capable of integrating the ethical, rational, and transcendental dimensions of knowledge simultaneously. Furthermore, the study extends the theoretical discourse on Islamic epistemology by proposing that scientific integration should not merely involve incorporating religious values into secular disciplines, but rather reconstructing the epistemological foundations of knowledge itself.

The findings additionally reveal that the crisis of contemporary science is closely associated with the fragmentation between empirical inquiry, ethical responsibility, and spiritual awareness.

Modern scientific paradigms, heavily influenced by positivism and secular rationality, frequently prioritize technical efficiency and material progress while marginalizing moral and metaphysical considerations (Maulana & Huda, 2025; Mohiuddin & Wright, 2024). This study confirms previous critiques arguing that such fragmentation contributes to dehumanization, ecological crises, and the weakening of ethical consciousness in modern societies (Safdar & Shams-Ur-Rehman, 2021; Habibi, 2024). However, the present findings move beyond criticism by demonstrating that Islamic epistemology offers an operational framework for reconnecting science with ethical and transcendental values. The synthesis of bayani, burhani, and irfani enables scientific inquiry to function not only as a means of technological advancement but also as an instrument for cultivating wisdom, justice, and spiritual responsibility. This integrative orientation provides a conceptual alternative to reductionistic scientific paradigms that separate knowledge from moral purpose. Consequently, the study contributes to global discussions on post-secular and value-oriented science by positioning Islamic epistemology as a relevant framework for reconstructing contemporary scientific civilization.

Another important implication of this study concerns the relevance of integrative epistemology for Islamic educational institutions. The findings indicate that the integration of bayani, burhani, and irfani can serve as the philosophical foundation for developing educational models that balance cognitive, ethical, and spiritual dimensions. This result supports previous research emphasizing the importance of integrating religion and science within Islamic higher education and curriculum development (Azizah et al., 2025; Ciptadi & Tobroni, 2024; Rifai et al., 2025). Nevertheless, this study reveals that many contemporary initiatives remain limited to symbolic integration because they incorporate religious content into modern disciplines without reconstructing the underlying epistemological assumptions. As a result, educational integration often becomes superficial and fails to transform the orientation of scientific inquiry itself. The present findings therefore suggest that meaningful integration requires epistemological reconstruction rather than merely curricular modification. This perspective contributes practically to ongoing efforts among Islamic educational institutions seeking to develop scientific paradigms that are intellectually rigorous, ethically grounded, and spiritually meaningful. In this sense, Islamic epistemology becomes not only a theoretical discourse but also a transformative framework for educational and civilizational renewal.

Overall, this study demonstrates that Islamic epistemology offers a significant conceptual contribution to contemporary global discussions regarding the future of science and civilization. The integration of bayani, burhani, and irfani challenges the dominant assumption that scientific progress must necessarily be separated from spirituality and ethical values. Instead, the findings show that revelation, rationality, and intuition can operate synergistically within a unified epistemological structure that promotes both intellectual advancement and moral responsibility. This integrative perspective positions Islamic epistemology as an alternative paradigm capable of responding to the limitations of modern secular science while avoiding anti-rational tendencies. At the same time, the study acknowledges that the practical implementation of such integration remains challenging due to the continuing dominance of secular educational and scientific frameworks across many Muslim societies. Nevertheless, the conceptual synthesis proposed in this study provides a new direction for reconstructing scientific paradigms that are simultaneously rational, ethical, and transcendental. Therefore, the study contributes not only to Islamic epistemological discourse but also to broader debates concerning the possibility of developing a more humane, balanced, and spiritually grounded scientific civilization.

## CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that Islamic epistemology, through the interconnected paradigms of bayani, burhani, and irfani, forms a holistic framework of knowledge that integrates revelation, rationality, and spirituality within the principle of *tawhīd al-‘ilm*. The findings reveal that bayani provides ethical and normative guidance through revelation, burhani strengthens scientific inquiry through rational and empirical reasoning, while irfani enriches knowledge with spiritual awareness and transcendental meaning. Rather than functioning as separate or competing systems, these three epistemological traditions complement one another in constructing a balanced scientific worldview

capable of overcoming the fragmentation of modern secular knowledge. The study further confirms that the synthesis of these paradigms offers an alternative foundation for the development of contemporary science that is intellectually rigorous, ethically grounded, and spiritually oriented. Therefore, the reconstruction of Islamic epistemology is not merely a philosophical discourse, but also a strategic effort to develop a more humane, integrative, and value-based scientific civilization.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENT

Mohammad Syaifuddin conceived and designed the research, developed the philosophical framework of the study, conducted the literature investigation and conceptual analysis, and prepared the initial draft of the manuscript. Muhlisin contributed to the development of the methodological design, assisted in interpreting the epistemological concepts, and critically reviewed the manuscript to strengthen its academic arguments and coherence. Slamet Untung contributed to the analysis of Islamic philosophical perspectives and supported the interpretation of the historical development of bayani, burhani, and irfani epistemologies. Amat Zuhri assisted in organizing the conceptual synthesis of Islamic epistemology and contributed to refining the analytical structure of the discussion section. Nur Khikmah contributed to data organization, literature verification, and manuscript formatting in accordance with journal standards. Thoyibah assisted in reviewing the relevance of the study to contemporary Islamic educational discourse and contributed to language refinement and academic consistency. Arditya Prayogi supervised the overall research process, provided substantial theoretical direction, validated the conceptual framework, and finalized the manuscript for publication.

### REFERENCES

- Adiyono, A., Ni'am, S., & Akhyak, A. (2024). Methodology of Islamic studies: Islam as religion (A perspective epistemology, paradigm, and methodology). *Analisis: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 24(1), 169–200. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ajsk.v24i1.22636>
- Afwadzi, B., Ali, N., & Ahdi, M. W. (2023). Reinterpretation of the Islamic education thought of Burhan al-Islam al-Zarnuji: An analysis of Islamic epistemology. *J-PAI: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.18860/jpai.v10i1.24113>
- Almusaed, A., Almssad, A., & Yitmen, I. (2025). Philosophical foundations of research. In A. Almusaed, A. Almssad, & I. Yitmen (Eds.), *Practice of research methodology in civil engineering and architecture: A comprehensive guide* (pp. 169–204). Springer Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-97393-2\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-97393-2_6)
- Asnah, A. (2026). The transformation of Islamic education thought in the city of Padangsidempuan: Abid al-Jabiri's epistemological study on the role of intellectuals and educational institutions. *Tafkir: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Education*, 7(1), 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.31538/tijie.v7i1.2393>
- Awaliyah Fitri, S. R., Natsir, N. F., & Haryanti, E. (2022). The dialectical relationship between religion and science: A study of the paradigms of Islamic science at the State Islamic University, Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Islam*, 10(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.36667/jppi.v10i1.863>
- Azizah, R. N., Putri, M. W., Ulyantika, S. N., Romlah, & Abdurrohman. (2025). Epistemology of Islamic educational planning: An analysis of principles, goals, and values. *Journal of Educational Management Research*, 4(6), 3134–3146. <https://doi.org/10.61987/jemr.v4i6.1510>
- Azmi, M., & Syam, N. (2021). Assembling science dichotomy of Islamic and West epistemology perspective. *At-Turās: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 8(2), 134–155.
- Baried, A. B., & Hannase, M. (2021). The irfani concept in sufism and its relation to Islamic philosophy. *Rausyan Fikr: Jurnal Studi Ilmu Ushuluddin Dan Filsafat*, 17(2), 229–256.
- Basri, M., Fadriati, F., & Suryana, E. (2025). Sources of knowledge in Islam: Epistemology of revelation, reason, senses, and intuition. *At-Tasyrih: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Hukum Islam*, 11(2), 178–187. <https://doi.org/10.55849/attasyrih.v11i2.353>
- Ciptadi, T., & Tobroni, T. (2024). The integration of philosophy in the development of Islamic religious education curriculum: An epistemological and applied analysis. *Edukasi Islami: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 13(04), 789–800. <https://doi.org/10.30868/ei.v13i04.7303>

- Fahmi, M., Soleh, A. K., & Cahyati, L. (2024). The concept of religion-science integration: A comparative study of Naquib Al-Attas and Nidhal Guessoum. *DINIKA: Academic Journal of Islamic Studies*, 9(2), 151–172. <https://doi.org/10.22515/dinika.v9i2.8555>
- Fuadi, M. H., Mubarak, H., Rela, I. Z., Rismanto, D., Laksono, R. D., Susilowati, T., ... & Andrian, B. (2025). *Komunikasi filosofi dan metodologis*. Mega Press Nusantara.
- Gusli, S. (2025). *Pengantar filsafat pendidikan*. PT. Adab Indonesia.
- Habibi, H. (2024). Revitalization of the Islamic education paradigm: An Islamic epistemological perspective. *Bestari*, 21(2), 102. <https://doi.org/10.36667/bestari.v21i2.1532>
- Hariyanto. (2025). Buddhist epistemology and critical thinking: Ethical, cognitive, and pedagogical insights for interdisciplinary education. *Human Arenas*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42087-025-00508-8>
- Holtz, P. (2020). Does postmodernism really entail a disregard for the truth? Similarities and differences in postmodern and critical rationalist conceptualizations of truth, progress, and empirical research methods. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.545959>
- Iftikhar, L., Rabia, D. S., & Sadia. (2024). Islamic and scientific epistemology: A comparative study. *Islamic Research Journal* 52–38), 04(2), *الفدوة*.
- Ihsan, N. H., Mudin, M. I., & Rohman, A. (2024). Ma'rifatullah of Ibn 'Ata'illah in the face of "the peak experience" of Abraham Maslow. *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah Dan Pemikiran Islam*, 26(1), 59–88. <https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol26no1.2>
- Irfani, M., Habibi, M. I., & Rifqiyansyah, M. (2025). Methods of interpretation: Epistemological views of bayani, burhani, and irfani. *INTIHA: Islamic Education Journal*, 2(2), 273–284. <https://doi.org/10.58988/intiha.v2i2.334>
- Istiqomah, I. (2026). Epistemologi bayani (Islam). *JUTEQ: Jurnal Teologi & Tafsir*, 2(12), 1783–1800.
- Kadir, S. (2024). Comparative study of Al-Ghazali thought and Ibn Rushd in the perspective of Islamic theology. *International Journal of Health, Economics, and Social Sciences (IJHESS)*, 6(3), 895–903.
- Khozin, K., & Umiarso, U. (2019). The philosophy and methodology of Islam-science integration: Unravelling the transformation of Indonesian Islamic higher institutions. *Ulumuna*, 23(1), 135–162. <https://doi.org/10.20414/ujs.v23i1.359>
- Lubis, W. A., & Pratami, F. (2026). Toward holistic assessment in adult Islamic religious education: Integrating irfani epistemology and andragogy. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 18(1), 1278–1290. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v18i1.8950>
- Magsi, D. H. A. (2026). Islamic social system and the clash of civilizations: Revisiting the Islamic social framework in the light of the Holy Prophet's teachings. *Journal of Quranic and Social Studies*, 6(1), 33–49. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18421682>
- Ma'rufi, A., Saifudin, Nisa', K., & Muhajir. (2024). Burhani epistemology in the scientific development of contemporary pesantren. *Tafkir: Interdisciplinary Journal of Islamic Education*, 5(2), 301–314. <https://doi.org/10.31538/tijie.v5i2.937>
- Maulana, M. Y., & Huda, N. (2025). Modern paradigm: Empirism, rationalism, and positivism. *Proceeding of International Conference on Education, Society and Humanity*, 3(1), 314–318.
- Maulud, A., & Syaifuddin, H. (2025). Sayyed Hossein Nasr's critique of modernity: The perspective of perennial philosophy and its relevance to contemporary Islamic thought. *An-Nida'*, 49(1), 110–128. <https://doi.org/10.24014/an-nida.v49i1.36482>
- Mirza, U. J. (2024). Islamic scientific critical consciousness as a theoretical framework for Muslim science educators. *London Review of Education*, 22(1), 1–19.
- Mohiuddin, A., & Wright, P. M. (2024). *Islam in the age of globalization: Perspectives and responses* (pp. 1–358).
- Mo'tasim, M., Mustafa, S., Maksum, M., Ma'ruf, A., & Verawati, E. (2025). Integrating Islamic epistemology, cultural context, and deep learning for multicultural Islamic education: A thematic literature review. *FIKROTUNA: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Manajemen Islam*, 14(2), 316–335. <https://doi.org/10.32806/jf.v14i2.1232>
- Mughal, M. J., & Ali. (2022). A critical study of the views of Sayyed Hossein Nasr on the philosophical foundation of Islamic science. *TRAMES*, 26(2), 141–156.

- Mukhlis, M. (2026). The interplay between religious worldviews and scientific knowledge in contemporary social understanding. *Journal of Religion, Knowledge, and Society*, 1(1), 21–44.
- Mulasi, S., & Rijal, S. (2024). Epistemologi burhani: Konsep nalar Arab Al-Jabiri dalam meningkatkan kualitas pemikiran kritis dan spiritualitas peserta didik. *FITRAH: International Islamic Education Journal*, 6(1), 41–58.
- Muslih, M., & Taqiyuddin, M. (2025). The integration of science and religion: Analysing research paradigms in Indonesian State Islamic Universities through a Lakatosian lens. *Afkar: Jurnal Akidah Dan Pemikiran Islam*, 27(1), 165–202. <https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol27no1.5>
- Nartin, D. (2024). *Metode penelitian kualitatif*. Yayasan Cendikia Mulia Mandiri.
- Obiedat, A. Z. (2022a). *Modernity and the ideals of Arab-Islamic and Western-scientific philosophy: The worldviews of Mario Bunge and Taha Abd al-Rahman*. Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-94265-6>
- Obiedat, A. Z. (2022b). *Modernity and the ideals of Arab-Islamic and Western-scientific philosophy: The worldviews of Mario Bunge and Taha Abd al-Rahman*. Springer Nature.
- Paralihan, H. (2024). Ali Shari'ati on divine humanism: Integration of divine values in the formation of human identity. *Al-A'raf: Jurnal Pemikiran Islam Dan Filsafat*, 21(2), 226–252. <https://doi.org/10.22515/ajpif.v21i2.10294>
- Putra, B. I., Husni, & Wahyuni, S. (2025). Epistemological differences in Islam: Traditional, rational, and sufistic approaches. *Journal Research of Social Science, Economics & Management*, 4(12), 2393. <https://doi.org/10.59141/jrssem.v4i12.943>
- Ramadhan, A. S. (2025). The relations between epistemology system of bayani, burhani, and irfani. *ABHATS: Jurnal Islam Ulil Albab*, 6(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.20885/abhats.vol6.iss1.art1>
- Rifai, A., Wachidi, W., Taqiyuddin, Y. M., Haqiqi, M. A., & Ali, M. (2025). A systematic literature review on the integration of epistemological theories in educational management practices. *Multicultural Islamic Education Review*. <https://doi.org/10.23917/mier.v3i1.10210>
- Rijal, S., & Muhammad, R. (2021). 'Irfani epistemology in Islamic philosophy perspective. *Journal Analytica Islamica*, 10(1), 25–38.
- Rizki, A., & Rijal, S. (2026). Epistemological foundations of Islamic education: Bayani, burhani, and irfani. *Al-Mudarris (Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Islam)*, 9(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.23971/mdr.v9i1.11002>
- Rogińska, M. (2016). Science, religion, and the meaning of life and the universe: “Amalgam” narratives of Polish natural scientists. *Zygon®*, 51(4), 904–924. <https://doi.org/10.1111/zygo.12298>
- Safdar, S. S., & Shams-Ur-Rehman, G. (2021). The roots of the ecological crisis in the theological and philosophical landscape of modern civilization: An analysis of Seyyed Hossein Nasr's perspective. *Islamic Studies*, 60(3), 287–308.
- Sassi, K. (2020). Prinsip-prinsip epistemologi pendidikan Islam paradigma tauhid Naquib al-Attas. *Millah: Journal of Religious Studies*, 135–172.
- Solberg Söilen, K. (2025). Positioning in science: Foundations, perspectives, and schools of thought. In K. Solberg Söilen (Ed.), *The researcher's journey: A guide to methodology and academia in social sciences* (pp. 355–482). Springer Nature Switzerland. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-91565-9\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-91565-9_4)
- Syafei, I. (2025). *Sejarah pendidikan Islam*. Widina Media Utama.
- Ulfa, M. (2025). Revisiting turath integration: Comparative methodologies of Al-Jabiri and Shahrur in contemporary Islamic law. *MADANIA Jurnal Hukum Pidana Dan Ketatanegaraan Islam*, 15(2), 21–34.
- Uyuni, B., & Adnan, M. (2024). Philosophical foundations in training traditional religious educators: Bridging past and present. *Futurity Philosophy*, 3(2), 40–65. <https://doi.org/10.57125/FP.2024.06.30.03>
- Vadly, A. (2025). Religion and philosophy in dialogue: Reinterpreting the Eastern peripatetic synthesis of reason and revelation. *Aqlania*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.32678/aqlania.v16i2.86>
- Wahyuni, N. S., Komarudin, D., & Rifki, M. (2025). Pendekatan filsafat ilmu terhadap metode ilmiah (Studi epistemologi, etika, dan inovasi). *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia: Teori, Penelitian, dan Inovasi*, 5(2).

- Yusrin, Y. (2023). Muhammad Abid al-Jabiri's contribution to Islamic education. *International Journal of Islamic Social Studies*, 1(2), 112–120. <https://doi.org/10.62039/ijiss.v1i2.26>
- Zohdi, A. (2017). Islamic scientific epistemology in Al-Jabiri perspective. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture*, 3(5), 26–35.